



Concept Of Fraud Detection Test For Detection Of Cheating In All Recruitment And Entrance Examinations

Mr. Varun Kumar Kushwaha

Assistant Professor, Department of Botany,
Govt. Naveen Girls College, Baikunthpur, District - Korea, Chhattisgarh, India

Abstract: The transparency and fairness of competitive examinations are essential for selecting deserving and intellectually capable candidates for educational, administrative and professional positions. Incidents of examination paper leaks, impersonation and use of unfair means have raised serious concerns regarding the capability of existing examination systems. This study proposes the concept of a “Fraud Detection Test” as an additional mechanism to identify and prevent fraudulent selection in examinations. It is very necessary for a fair and transparent system of recruitment and entrance examinations. Assurance of a fraudulence free examination system is necessity for selection of intellectual persons in administrative, educational and other systems.

The fraud detection test is a test to identify a candidate selected through fraudulence using unfair means. The concept of a fraud detection test involves conducting a re-examination exclusively for selected candidates in a job or course. It can be organized after publication of selection list. These selected candidates will be examined under fraudulence detection test. It will be a parallel test similar to mains/selection examination. Difficulty level and syllabus of fraud detection test must be similar to main/selection examination. It would be conducted under strict supervision and controlled conditions. Statistical analysis and correlation of scores between the original examination and the fraud detection test would help to identify significant differences in scores that may indicate possible malpractice. Candidates showing abnormal differences in performance could then be subjected to further investigation through interviews and additional verification tests by a specialized fraud detection committee. The study highlights the importance, procedure, and potential effectiveness of this approach in detecting unfair practices such as impersonation and paper leaks. The implementation of fraud detection tests can strengthen the fairness, transparency, and reliability of recruitment and entrance examination systems, thereby promoting merit-based selection and public trust in examination processes.

Index Terms - Fraud detection test, competitive examinations, entrance tests, NEET, transparency in examinations, Unfair means.

Introduction

Recruitment and entrance examinations play a crucial role in the selection of deserving candidates for educational institutions, government services and professional organizations. The credibility of these examinations is directly related to the fairness, transparency and reliability of the evaluation process^{1,2}. In recent years, increasing incidents of examination paper leaks, impersonation, cheating through unfair means. The organized examination fraud have created serious concerns regarding the integrity of recruitment and entrance systems³. Such malpractices not only affect the selection of meritorious candidates but also reduce public trust in examination authorities and educational institutions. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop effective mechanisms to identify and prevent fraudulent practices in examinations⁴.

To address these challenges, the present study proposes the concept of a “Fraud Detection Test” as an additional verification mechanism in competitive and entrance examinations. The proposed system is based on the idea of conducting a re-examination exclusively for selected candidates after the publication of the merit or selection list⁵. Since the number of selected candidates is comparatively smaller, the examination can be organized in a highly controlled and supervised environment. It will minimize the possibility of fraudulent activities. The syllabus, pattern and difficulty level of the fraud detection test would remain similar to those of the original examination to ensure reliability and comparability of performance⁶.

Objective

The fundamental objective of the fraud detection test is to compare the performance of candidates in the original examination with their performance in the re-examination. Statistical analysis and correlation methods can be used to evaluate consistency in scores. If the performance of candidates remains reasonably consistent in both examinations, it may indicate the absence of malpractice. However, a significant difference in scores may suggest the possibility of fraud, impersonation, or the use of unfair means during the original examination. Such cases can then be investigated further through interviews, additional tests, and verification by a specialized fraud detection committee.

Importance of Fraudulence Detection Test

This fraud detection tests will be good to detect cases of exam paper leak, using unfair means and exam written by other person.

Fraudulence Detection Committee

A fraudulence detection committee may be formed including honest and experienced administrative officer and academicians for investigation and assurance of fraudulence. They will investigate reason of difference in scores through direct interview. The formation of a fraud detection committee is also important in ensuring transparency and fairness in the investigation process. The committee may consist of experienced academicians, administrative officers and examination experts who can evaluate suspicious cases objectively. Genuine factors such as illness, psychological stress, or personal problems may also influence examination performance. therefore, candidates identified with unusual score differences should be provided an opportunity for clarification and second round of fraud detection test before any final decision is taken.

Proposed Procedure of Fraud Detection Test

After publishing selection list, a fraudulence detection test will be organized. Syllabus and difficulty level of this fraudulence detection test will be same as selection examination. Procedure of Fraud Detection Test will be as follow^{7,8,9}.

1. Conduct of Main Examination

The recruitment or entrance examination is conducted according to the standard procedure prescribed by the examination authority. Candidates appear in the examination under normal examination conditions, and answer sheets are evaluated to prepare the merit or selection list.

2. Publication of Selection List

After evaluation of the main examination, the list of selected or shortlisted candidates is published officially. Only these selected candidates will be considered for the Fraud Detection Test (FDT).

3. Formation of Fraud Detection Committee

A specialized Fraud Detection Committee is constituted before conducting the fraud detection test. The committee may include experienced academicians, administrative officers, examination experts, and honest authorities responsible for ensuring transparency and fairness throughout the process.

4. Preparation of Fraud Detection Test

A separate re-examination is prepared for selected candidates. The syllabus, pattern and difficulty level of the Fraud Detection Test should remain similar to the original selection examination to ensure reliability and comparability of results.

5. Conduct of Fraud Detection Test

The Fraud Detection Test is conducted under highly controlled and strict supervision conditions. Since the number of selected candidates is smaller, stronger monitoring systems such as biometric verification, CCTV surveillance, identity verification, and restricted examination centers can be implemented effectively to minimize malpractice.

6. Collection and Evaluation of Scores

After completion of the Fraud Detection Test, answer sheets are evaluated and scores are recorded. These scores are then compared with the scores obtained by candidates in the original examination.

7. Statistical Analysis and Correlation

Statistical methods are applied to analyze the relationship between the scores of the original examination and the Fraud Detection Test. Correlation analysis and score difference calculations are used to determine consistency in candidate performance.

For example: If a candidate scores similarly in both examinations, it indicates consistency and reduces suspicion of malpractice. If a candidate shows a significant drop or abnormal variation in performance, it may indicate possible use of unfair means in the original examination.

8. Identification of Suspicious Cases

Candidates showing unusually high differences in marks between the two examinations are identified as suspicious cases. These candidates are shortlisted for further verification and investigation.

9. Investigation by Fraud Detection Committee

The Fraud Detection Committee investigates suspicious cases through personal interviews, verification of identity, analysis of examination records, Analysis of behavioural and academic consistency. The committee evaluates whether the score difference is due to genuine reasons or possible fraudulent activities.

10. Second Round Verification Test

If Required, a second round Fraud Detection Test may be organized under supervision of higher authorities for suspicious candidates only. This step helps confirm whether the abnormal score difference is due to unfair means or other genuine causes such as illness, stress, or personal problems.

11. Final Decision and Action

After detailed investigation and verification, candidates found genuine are cleared and allowed to continue in the recruitment or admission process. Candidates confirmed to have used unfair means may face cancellation of candidature, legal action or disqualification according to examination rules.

12. Documentation and Transparency

All findings, statistical analyses, interview reports, and final decisions should be documented properly to maintain transparency and accountability in the examination system.

Hypothetical Example

After fraud detection test, scores of fraud detection test and selection examination may be tabulated as follow. We can easily detect any significant difference in scores by observing difference column.

Sl.No	Candidate Name	Score of Selection Examination	Score of Fraud Detection Test 1	Difference	Remark
01	Candidate 1	85	82	-3	
02	Candidate 2	82	84	+2	
03	Candidate 3	81	82	+1	
04	Candidate 4	80	81	+1	
05	Candidate 5	78	77	-1	
06	Candidate 6	76	73	-3	
07	Candidate 7	74	76	+2	
08	Candidate 8	73	42	-31	Significant Difference Found
09	Candidate 9	72	69	-3	
10	Candidate 10	70	69	-1	

In this example, a significant difference is detected in scores of candidate number 8. He/she may be a possible fraudulent candidate. There may be other reason of significance difference in marks. This candidate may be called for interview to detect actual reason, and second round of fraudulence detection test should be organized to ensure difference is due to unfair means.

Hypothetical Example 2

If difficulty level of both tests is not same, such condition may be created. In such condition, average difference can be normalized¹⁰, and huge difference can also be detected as given in second example.

Sl.No	Candidate Name	Score of Selection Examination	Score of Fraud Detection Test 1	Difference	Remark
01	Candidate 1	85	66	-19	
02	Candidate 2	82	65	-17	
03	Candidate 3	81	64	-17	
04	Candidate 4	80	64	-16	
05	Candidate 5	78	63	-15	
06	Candidate 6	76	63	-13	
07	Candidate 7	74	62	-12	
08	Candidate 8	73	35	-38	Significant Difference Found
09	Candidate 9	72	61	-11	
10	Candidate 10	70	60	-10	

Discussion

The advancement of technology and the increasing competition for limited educational and employment opportunities have further intensified examination related fraud. Cases of candidates using proxy examinees, leaked question papers, electronic gadgets, and organized cheating networks have been reported in many competitive examinations. These unethical practices compromise the quality of selection and may result in incompetent individuals obtaining important educational admissions or administrative positions. As a consequence, honest and deserving candidates suffer injustice, and the overall efficiency of educational and administrative systems is negatively affected.

So It is need of current situation to develop such fraud detection tests. It is easy to organize a re-examination of only selected candidates. Less number of candidates can be examined in more controlled environment. So, there will be a less chance of fraudulence. Then, score of fraud detection test will be correlated with score of main examination. Statistical methods will be used to analyse correlation coefficient between scores of tests. If there is correlation found in scores of all candidates, it means there is no fraudulence done in mains examination. But if a huge / significant difference is found in scores of

any candidate it means there may have chance of fraudulence. It can be further investigated to find out reason behind it by direct interview and second round of fraudulence detection test. A fraudulence detection committee may be formed for investigation and assurance of fraudulence¹¹. There may have a chance that score difference is due to health issues or other genuine problem. So we can examine this detected candidate again in second fraud detection test under supervision of higher authority. Subject knowledge of such candidate may be confirmed in individual interview.

Conclusion

This fraud detection tests will be good to detect cases of exam paper leak, using unfair means and exam written by other person. It will be good to conduct fair examinations. The proposed fraud detection system has the potential to strengthen the integrity and fairness of recruitment and entrance examinations by discouraging unfair practices and promoting merit-based selection. It can serve as an effective tool for detecting cases of paper leaks, proxy candidates, and organized examination fraud. Furthermore, the implementation of such a system can improve public confidence in examination authorities and contribute toward the development of a transparent and accountable recruitment and educational framework.

Recommendation

Implementation as Standard Practice is important. The Fraud Detection Test system may be adopted as a compulsory verification stage in major recruitment and entrance examinations to strengthen fairness, transparency and merit based selection. It is necessary to fulfil our dreams of becoming a leading country in the world.

References

1. Adnett, Nick & Mccaig, Colin & Slack, Kim & Bowers-Brown, Tamsin. (2010). Achieving 'Transparency, Consistency and Fairness' in English Higher Education Admissions: Progress since Schwartz?. Higher Education Quarterly. 65. 12 - 33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2273.2010.00468.x>.
2. Maccabe, C. and Smith, A. (2004), The Schwartz Report. Critical Quarterly, 46: 103-106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0011-1562.2004.00556.x>
3. Altbach, P. G., & Mathews, E. (2024). Exam Crazy: India and the World. International Higher Education. <https://doi.org/10.6017/895b9e0d.75fdfe13>
4. Fair admissions to higher education: recommendations for good practice, Admissions to Higher Education Review. <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/5284/1/finalreport.pdf>
5. Wongvorachan, Tarid. (2023). Cheating Detection in Tests: A Systematic Review. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/uc5hj>.
6. Lourdusamy, Ravi & Magendiran, Poovizhi. (2021). A systematic analysis of difficulty level of the question paper using student's marks: a case study. International Journal of Information Technology. 13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-020-00599-2>.
7. Singh, Harendra Nath. (2021). Crime Scene Investigation. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 10. pp 642-648. <https://doi.org/10.21275/SR211112005543>.
8. Website Article - Six steps for successful incident investigation. <https://risktec.tuv.com/knowledge-bank/six-steps-for-successful-incident-investigation/>
9. Website Article – ePG Pathshala, Stages in Crime Scene Investigation https://epgp.inflibnet.ac.in/epgpdata/uploads/epgp_content/S000016FS/P001104/M019056/ET/1516186050FSC_P1_M16_e-text.pdf
10. Bhatt, Abhay & Das, Sourish & Karandikar, Rajeeva. (2020). Normalization of Marks in Multi-Session Examinations. Current Science. 118. 34. <https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v118/i1/34-39>.
11. National Academy of Sciences (US), National Academy of Engineering (US) and Institute of Medicine (US) Panel on Scientific Responsibility and the Conduct of Research. Responsible Science: Ensuring the Integrity of the Research Process: Volume II. Washington (DC): National

- Academies Press (US); 1993. 18, Procedures for Investigating Academic Fraud. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK236197/>
12. Mokula, Lebeloane & Lovemore, Nyaumwe. (2014). Forms, Factors And Consequences Of Cheating In University Examinations: Insight From Open And Distance Learning Students. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education. 15. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.89240>.

