



Manual Scavenging Policies In 2013 And The Contemporary Situation In India: Environmental Sustainability And The Vision Of Viksit Bharat 2047

Pradnyanand G. Mate¹, Dr. Vikas K. Jambhulkar²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Political Science, Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur, India.

² Head, Department of Political Science, Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur, India.

Abstract

Manual scavenging is a bad job that still exists in India even though it's against the law and the constitution. The government made a law in 2013 to stop this practice and help people who do it. More than 10 years later people are still doing manual scavenging in different ways. This is a problem for fairness in society and taking care of the environment. This research paper looks at how policies about scavenging have changed since 2013. It also checks the situation now. Tries to understand the issue from the point of view of taking care of the environment. The study tries to find out how stopping manual scavenging can help achieve the goal of an India by 2047. This goal is about making sure everyone has opportunities and the country develops in a way that is good for the environment. The study finds out that there are problems, with how the government run with using new technology and with changing society. It also suggests some steps to make sure that people have a good life and the future is sustainable.

Keywords: Manual Scavenging Rehabilitation Policy (2013 Act) ,Social Justice ,Equality, Environmental Sustainability ,Viksit Bharat 2047

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Political Science, Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur, India.

² Head, Department of Political Science, Rashtrasant Tukadoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur, India.

Introduction

Manual scavenging is a bad practice where people have to clean, carry and throw away human waste from dirty toilets, open drains or septic tanks with their hands. This is closely tied to the way people are treated unfairly because of their caste. It has always been forced on communities that are already struggling especially the Dalits. Even though the constitution says everyone is equal and should be treated with respect and there are laws to stop this practice it still happens. This is not just about people being treated unfairly at work it also affects how healthy we are, how clean our environment is and how respect we have for each other as human beings. The year 2013 was a time because a new law was introduced to completely stop manual scavenging and help the people who were doing it. However it has been hard to make this law work because we do not have the infrastructure and there are many social and economic problems.

This paper is trying to answer three questions:

1. How well have the policies to stop scavenging worked since 2013?
2. What is the current situation, with scavenging in India?
3. How can stopping scavenging help keep our environment clean and contribute to the goal of Viksit Bharat 2047?

Historical Background

Manual scavenging is a problem, in India. It comes from the country's caste system. Some communities were made to do sanitation work like cleaning up waste. This separation of jobs based on caste became a part of society over time. When the British ruled India cities got sanitation systems.. People still did manual scavenging. After India became independent the Constitution said that untouchability was abolished. However peoples behavior didn't really change. The government tried to stop manual scavenging with a law in 1993. The law was called the Employment of Manual Scavengers and Construction of Dry Latrines (Prohibition) Act.. The law wasn't enforced strongly and people who did manual scavenging weren't helped to find new jobs. So the law didn't work. Manual scavenging is still happening today. This shows that just making laws is not enough. India needs to change society and build infrastructure to really stop manual scavenging.

The 2013 Policy Framework

The Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013: Key Provisions and Implications

The Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act 2013 was an important law in India. This law was meant to stop people from doing a bad and dangerous job. It was based on laws like the Employment of Manual Scavengers and Construction of Dry Latrines Act, 1993. This Act did not just say that people could not do this job it also helped people who were doing this job to get a life and become part of the community again. The Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act 2013 knew that just saying it was against the law was not enough. It was also important to help the people who were doing this job and make their lives better. The Prohibition of Employment, as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act 2013 wanted to help people who were scavengers.

1. Complete Prohibition of Manual Scavenging

The law says that it is a crime to make someone do the job of cleaning carrying or getting rid of waste in dirty toilets or open drains. This law is different from the laws that just said you could not build toilets that are not connected to a sewer system. The new law from 2013 says that no one can do this kind of work because it is not right to treat people this way and it is against their rights as a person. This rule applies to all places whether they are owned by the government or by companies so it is a very strong law. This shows that India wants to make sure that people are treated fairly no matter what family they were born into and that everyone is treated with respect. The law is very clear that manual scavenging is a thing and it should not happen in India. Manual scavenging is a violation of dignity and it is against the rights that are given to people, in the constitution of India

2. Ban on Construction and Use of Insanitary Latrines

A big focus of the Act is on changing infrastructure. It stops the building of dry toilets and says existing bad toilets must be turned into clean machine-based systems. This helps fix the reasons for manual scavenging. Old and unsafe toilet systems. The Act deals with peoples rights and health issues. The stop on building dry toilets also fits with Indias bigger plans for sanitation like the Swachh Bharat Mission. This mission wants toilets that're clean, safe and good, for the environment.

3. Identification of Manual Scavengers through Surveys

The Act says that local authorities have to do surveys to find people who do scavenging. These surveys are useful for things. They help us see how common manual scavenging is they help us give the help to the

right people and they make sure local governments are doing their job. The Act is trying to make sure that we collect data in a way so that what the law says and what actually happens are the same.. In real life these surveys have had problems, like people not saying the truth and not giving all the information and this is stopping us from making sure the rules are really working for manual scavenging.

4. Rehabilitation Measures: Financial Assistance and Skill Development

The law says that just making manual scavenging against the law will not stop it. So the law has a plan to help people who do this kind of work. This plan includes:

1. Money to help people: The government will give money to people who want to stop doing scavenging.
2. Teaching skills: The government will teach people new skills so they can get a different job.
3. Help with education: The government will help the children of people who used to do manual scavenging by giving them money to go to school.
4. Help, with housing: The government will help people get homes and become a part of the community.

The goal of these plans is to help people get out of work and find new ways to make a living. Manual scavenging is a problem that affects families for a long time. The government wants to help people get out of this kind of work and have a life. The law wants to make sure that people who used to do scavenging can get the help they need to have a better future. Manual scavenging is a job that can hurt people. The government is trying to help people who do this kind of work.⁵

Penalties for Violations

To ensure compliance the Act has penalties for individuals, institutions or authorities that break its rules. These penalties include fines and imprisonment for people who hire scavengers or build toilets that are not safe. The Act includes these penalties to show that the government is serious about stopping scavenging. The government wants to make it clear, to everyone that manual scavenging is a problem and is also a crime that can be punished by law. The use of penalties sends a message to society that manual scavenging will not be allowed. The government is making sure that everyone follows the rules to stop scavenging.

Implications of the 2013 Act

The Act represents a multidimensional approach combining prohibition, rehabilitation, and infrastructural reform. Its significance can be understood across three dimensions:

1. **Social Justice:** By outlawing an occupation historically tied to caste discrimination, the Act affirms the dignity of marginalized communities and aligns with constitutional guarantees of equality.

2. **Public Health and Environmental Sustainability:** The ban on insanitary latrines and promotion of mechanized sanitation directly contribute to improved hygiene, reduced contamination, and sustainable waste management practices.
3. **Economic Empowerment:** Rehabilitation programs create pathways for skill development, alternative employment, and economic integration, addressing the systemic poverty associated with manual scavenging communities.

However, despite its progressive vision, challenges remain in implementation, monitoring, and adoption of mechanized sanitation solutions. Many states report zero manual scavengers, which independent surveys often contradict, pointing to a gap between law and reality. Addressing these challenges is essential for translating the 2013 Act's promise into tangible, nationwide impact.

Rehabilitation Measures under the 2013 Act

The *Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013* recognized that simply banning manual scavenging was insufficient to address the deep-rooted social, economic, and occupational inequalities faced by individuals engaged in this hazardous work. Rehabilitation was therefore a cornerstone of the legislation, aiming to restore dignity, provide sustainable livelihoods, and integrate affected communities into mainstream society. The Act emphasized rehabilitation through multiple channels: financial assistance, education support, housing, and alternative livelihood training. Each of these measures plays a critical role in breaking the cycle of social marginalization.

1. One-time Cash Assistance

One of the primary rehabilitation measures is the provision of a one-time financial grant to individuals who were engaged in manual scavenging. This cash assistance serves several purposes:

1. **Immediate Economic Relief:** Many manual scavengers live below the poverty line and lack access to basic resources. The cash grant provides immediate financial relief to meet household needs and reduce dependency on exploitative labor practices.
2. **Support for Transition:** The grant helps individuals transition to alternative occupations by covering costs associated with vocational training, tools, or small business ventures.
3. **Incentive for Compliance:** Financial support acts as an incentive for affected individuals to participate in rehabilitation programs rather than continuing in illegal or unsafe work.

The effectiveness of cash assistance, however, depends on timely disbursement and proper targeting. Delays, bureaucratic hurdles, and incomplete identification of beneficiaries have limited its impact in several states, highlighting the need for transparent and accountable implementation mechanisms.

2. Scholarships for Children

Education is central to breaking the intergenerational cycle of manual scavenging. Recognizing this, the Act provides scholarships for the children of former manual scavengers. Key objectives of this provision include:

1. **Reducing Educational Barriers:** Children from marginalized communities often face economic and social barriers that prevent them from accessing quality education. Scholarships help alleviate tuition costs, purchase of textbooks, and other educational expenses.
2. **Promoting Social Mobility:** Education equips children with skills and knowledge to pursue diverse career paths, enabling upward social and economic mobility.
3. **Long-term Eradication of Manual Scavenging:** By focusing on the next generation, scholarships help prevent children from entering the same hazardous occupation, thereby contributing to a sustainable solution.

Several NGOs and state governments have collaborated to implement scholarship programs effectively, but gaps remain in rural areas where educational infrastructure is limited. Greater coordination between government schemes and local institutions is needed to maximize outreach and impact.

3. Housing Support

The Act also emphasizes improving living conditions through housing support. Many individuals engaged in manual scavenging live in substandard housing with limited access to clean water, sanitation, and electricity. Housing assistance serves multiple functions:

1. **Enhancing Health and Safety:** Safe and hygienic housing reduces exposure to environmental hazards and improves overall public health.
2. **Promoting Social Inclusion:** Adequate housing fosters dignity and integration into society, countering social stigmas associated with manual scavenging.
3. **Facilitating Access to Rehabilitation Programs:** Stable housing ensures that beneficiaries can reliably participate in skill development and vocational training programs.

Housing support may include direct financial aid for constructing or upgrading homes, provision of government housing units, or access to affordable loans. States with proactive urban development programs have reported more success in implementing housing schemes for rehabilitation.

4. Alternative Livelihood Training

Rehabilitation under the 2013 Act strongly emphasizes vocational and skill development to enable affected individuals to transition into safer and economically viable occupations. Key aspects include:

1. **Skill Assessment and Training:** Individuals are provided training in trades such as plumbing, electrical work, tailoring, carpentry, or small-scale entrepreneurship.
2. **Integration into Formal Employment:** Programs aim to facilitate placement in government schemes, private sector jobs, or self-employment initiatives.
3. **Reducing Dependency on Hazardous Work:** Alternative livelihoods help ensure that families no longer rely on manual scavenging, breaking cycles of poverty and occupational marginalization.

Successful skill development programs require coordination between government agencies, NGOs, and vocational training institutes. In addition, monitoring and follow-up are necessary to ensure that trainees secure sustainable employment after completing training.

5. Implications of Rehabilitation Measures

Rehabilitation measures under the 2013 Act are not merely welfare provisions—they are transformative interventions aimed at social justice, economic empowerment, and sustainable development. When effectively implemented, these measures:

1. Restore dignity to individuals who have been historically marginalized
2. Provide pathways out of extreme poverty
3. Support environmental sustainability by eliminating hazardous manual scavenging practices
4. Align with broader national development goals, including the vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*, which emphasizes inclusive growth and social equity

However, challenges persist. Implementation gaps, delayed disbursement of benefits, and limited awareness among beneficiaries often reduce the effectiveness of rehabilitation measures. Strengthening monitoring mechanisms, leveraging technology for outreach, and involving civil society organizations can significantly enhance the impact of these interventions.

Institutional Mechanisms under the 2013 Act

The *Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013* not only prohibited manual scavenging but also established institutional mechanisms to ensure effective implementation, monitoring, and accountability. Recognizing that legislation alone cannot eliminate such a deeply entrenched social and occupational issue, the Act mandated the creation of local, district, and state-level structures, and encouraged the active involvement of civil society organizations. These mechanisms are critical for ensuring compliance, facilitating rehabilitation, and promoting transparency.

1. Role of Local Authorities

Local authorities, including municipal corporations, panchayats, and urban local bodies, play a central role in implementing the provisions of the Act. Their responsibilities include:

1. **Identification of Manual Scavengers:** Local authorities are mandated to conduct comprehensive surveys to identify individuals engaged in manual scavenging. These surveys serve as the foundation for rehabilitation and ensure that benefits reach the targeted population.
2. **Elimination of Insanitary Latrines:** Local authorities must identify and remove all insanitary latrines, dry toilets, and unsafe sewage systems. They are also responsible for converting existing latrines into safe, mechanized systems or providing alternatives such as piped sewerage or bio-toilets.
3. **Coordination with Government Schemes:** Local authorities link rehabilitation measures with other government initiatives, such as housing schemes, vocational training programs, and sanitation missions.

The effectiveness of local authorities is critical, as they are directly responsible for both law enforcement and service delivery. However, challenges such as inadequate funding, bureaucratic delays, and lack of trained personnel often hinder their performance.

2. Monitoring Committees at District and State Levels

To ensure systematic oversight, the Act mandates the establishment of monitoring committees at both district and state levels. These committees serve several key functions:

1. **Supervision and Compliance:** Monitoring committees oversee the implementation of the Act, ensuring that local authorities identify manual scavengers, eliminate insanitary latrines, and execute rehabilitation measures.
2. **Grievance Redressal:** They act as platforms for addressing complaints from beneficiaries, families, or community members regarding violations or delays in rehabilitation.
3. **Data Collection and Reporting:** Committees are responsible for maintaining accurate records, preparing reports on the prevalence of manual scavenging, and submitting recommendations to state governments.

By establishing monitoring mechanisms at multiple levels, the Act creates accountability structures that help prevent negligence and corruption, which have historically plagued sanitation and labor-related programs.

3. Involvement of Civil Society Organizations

Civil society organizations (CSOs), including NGOs, community-based groups, and advocacy networks, are explicitly recognized in the Act as important partners in implementation. Their roles include:

1. **Awareness and Education:** CSOs help educate communities about the illegality of manual scavenging, the availability of rehabilitation benefits, and the importance of safe sanitation practices.
2. **Facilitating Rehabilitation:** Many NGOs provide vocational training, skill development programs, and support in securing employment for individuals transitioning out of manual scavenging.
3. **Monitoring and Advocacy:** Civil society acts as an independent watchdog, reporting violations, advocating for policy improvements, and ensuring that marginalized communities are represented in decision-making processes.

The collaboration between government agencies and civil society strengthens policy implementation, particularly in rural areas and urban informal settlements where government reach may be limited.

4. Implications of Institutional Mechanisms

The institutional mechanisms under the 2013 Act serve multiple purposes:

1. **Accountability:** By involving multiple layers of government and independent organizations, the Act reduces the risk of negligence and ensures compliance with legal mandates.
2. **Efficiency in Service Delivery:** Coordinated action between local authorities, monitoring committees, and civil society facilitates the timely identification of manual scavengers, elimination of insanitary infrastructure, and provision of rehabilitation benefits.
3. **Community Engagement:** The involvement of civil society and local governance structures promotes participation of affected communities, empowering them to claim rights and access support.
4. **Integration with Broader Development Goals:** Institutional mechanisms ensure that efforts to eradicate manual scavenging are aligned with national sanitation missions, public health initiatives, and social welfare programs.

However, gaps remain in practice. Monitoring committees may lack sufficient authority or resources, local authorities may face bureaucratic inertia, and civil society involvement is often limited by funding and geographical constraints. Strengthening these institutional mechanisms is essential to translate the law's objectives into measurable outcomes, particularly in the context of India's broader vision of social inclusion and environmental sustainability.

Implementation Challenges of the 2013 Act

While the *Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013* represents a landmark legislative effort to eradicate a deeply entrenched and degrading occupation, its practical implementation has encountered numerous obstacles. These challenges reflect structural, social, and administrative realities that have hindered the law's full effectiveness. Understanding these implementation gaps is essential for developing strategies to strengthen enforcement, rehabilitation, and sustainable sanitation solutions.

1. Underreporting and Data Gaps

A significant challenge in implementing the 2013 Act has been the underreporting of manual scavengers and insufficient data collection. Several states have officially reported “zero manual scavengers,” despite independent studies and media investigations indicating that thousands continue to engage in this hazardous work.

1. **Consequences of Underreporting:** Inaccurate data impedes effective planning, allocation of rehabilitation funds, and monitoring of law enforcement. Without reliable statistics, policymakers cannot identify regions with high prevalence, leaving affected communities without necessary support.
2. **Causes of Underreporting:** Factors include reluctance by local authorities to acknowledge the problem, fear of political backlash, and lack of standardized survey methods. Often, local governments are hesitant to report high numbers, as it may be perceived as administrative failure.
3. **Policy Implications:** Bridging this data gap requires independent verification, use of technology such as GIS mapping and digital records, and involvement of civil society organizations to ensure accurate and transparent reporting.

2. Lack of Political Will

Implementation of the Act frequently suffers from insufficient political commitment and bureaucratic inertia. Despite the legal framework, enforcement varies widely across states and districts.

1. **Bureaucratic Challenges:** Many officials prioritize other administrative tasks over the eradication of manual scavenging. Lack of clarity in roles and responsibilities among local authorities further complicates enforcement.
2. **Political Constraints:** In some regions, caste-based social dynamics make it politically sensitive to strictly enforce the law, leading to a passive approach rather than proactive intervention.
3. **Impact:** The absence of consistent political will undermines both the identification of manual scavengers and the implementation of rehabilitation programs, perpetuating social inequities and hazardous work conditions.

3. Inadequate Rehabilitation

Although the 2013 Act provides comprehensive rehabilitation measures—including cash assistance, vocational training, housing support, and educational aid—implementation has often been inadequate.

1. **Delayed or Limited Benefits:** Many beneficiaries experience long delays in receiving financial grants or accessing skill development programs. In some cases, funds are insufficient to cover living costs during the transition period.

2. **Skill Training Gaps:** Training programs often lack relevance to local employment opportunities, fail to meet market demands, or are poorly linked to job placement services. Consequently, affected individuals may struggle to secure sustainable livelihoods.
3. **Socio-economic Barriers:** Rehabilitation measures alone cannot overcome structural barriers such as caste-based discrimination, lack of local employment, and low literacy rates, which limit the success of skill development and economic integration.
4. **Policy Implications:** Addressing these gaps requires better coordination between rehabilitation programs, local industry, NGOs, and government employment initiatives to ensure meaningful and sustainable outcomes for beneficiaries.

4. Persistence of Insanitary Infrastructure

Despite the legal prohibition on insanitary latrines, many continue to exist in both rural and urban areas, necessitating ongoing manual intervention.

1. **Rural Challenges:** In rural regions, traditional pit latrines and open drains remain prevalent, often due to limited funding, lack of awareness, or cultural resistance to modern sanitation methods.
2. **Urban Informal Settlements:** In slums and informal settlements, poorly designed septic tanks and inadequately maintained sewer systems continue to require human cleaning.
3. **Technological Gaps:** Mechanized cleaning equipment and sanitation technology exist but are underutilized due to cost, lack of trained personnel, and poor maintenance.
4. **Health and Environmental Risks:** The persistence of unsafe sanitation infrastructure continues to pose severe health hazards, contributes to environmental contamination, and forces marginalized communities into hazardous labor.
5. **Policy Implications:** Sustainable eradication requires not only legal enforcement but also infrastructural upgrades, investment in mechanized sanitation, and community-based awareness programs.

5. Cumulative Impact of Implementation Challenges

The combination of underreporting, limited political will, inadequate rehabilitation, and persistent insanitary infrastructure perpetuates manual scavenging in India, despite the 2013 Act. These challenges highlight that eradicating manual scavenging is not solely a legislative problem—it is a complex socio-economic and administrative issue requiring coordinated action at multiple levels.

Key lessons include:

1. The need for accurate and transparent data collection
2. Strong political and bureaucratic commitment
3. Effective design and delivery of rehabilitation programs
4. Investment in safe, mechanized sanitation infrastructure

5. Active participation of civil society and affected communities

Addressing these challenges is essential not only for social justice but also for achieving environmental sustainability and public health goals. Failure to do so risks perpetuating cycles of poverty, caste-based discrimination, and environmental degradation, undermining broader national objectives such as the vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*.

Contemporary Situation of Manual Scavenging in India

Despite the enactment of the *Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013*, manual scavenging continues to persist in India, albeit in varying forms. The persistence of this practice highlights the gap between legislative intent and ground realities. The contemporary situation can be understood through statistical trends, occupational hazards, regional disparities, and technological adoption.

1. Current Statistics

Government data over the past decade suggests a gradual decline in the number of people engaged in manual scavenging. According to official reports, the number of registered manual scavengers has decreased, and several states have declared “zero manual scavengers” in their jurisdictions.

However, independent surveys and research studies paint a different picture:

1. Thousands of individuals still engage in cleaning sewers, septic tanks, and open drains, particularly in informal settlements and slums.
2. Underreporting, misclassification of labor, and lack of comprehensive surveys often mask the true prevalence of manual scavenging.
3. Certain states in northern and eastern India, such as Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, and Odisha, continue to report high numbers of unskilled workers engaged in unsafe sanitation tasks.

The discrepancy between government data and independent findings underscores the need for rigorous, transparent, and technology-assisted data collection to accurately assess the scale of the problem.

2. Sewer Deaths and Occupational Hazards

One of the gravest consequences of manual scavenging is the high incidence of occupational fatalities and injuries. Sewer deaths—caused by toxic gases, oxygen deficiency, and exposure to pathogenic waste—remain a critical public safety concern.

1. According to independent studies, hundreds of deaths are reported annually due to unsafe sewer and septic tank cleaning practices.
2. Workers often lack protective equipment such as gloves, masks, and respirators, increasing vulnerability to chemical and biological hazards.
3. In addition to fatalities, manual scavengers suffer from long-term health issues including respiratory diseases, skin infections, gastrointestinal disorders, and mental trauma.

The persistence of sewer deaths highlights gaps in both law enforcement and occupational safety protocols. While the 2013 Act prohibits manual scavenging, enforcement of safety measures and provision of mechanized cleaning alternatives remain inadequate.

3. Urban vs. Rural Divide

The prevalence and nature of manual scavenging vary significantly between urban and rural areas:

1. **Urban Areas:** Cities and towns have seen some mechanization and modernization of sanitation systems. Vacuum-based sewer cleaning machines, automated desludging equipment, and improved municipal waste management infrastructure have reduced reliance on manual labor. However, informal settlements in urban areas often continue to rely on manual methods due to the inaccessibility of mechanized equipment and lack of maintenance.
2. **Rural Areas:** Manual scavenging remains widespread in villages, where dry latrines, traditional pit systems, and poorly maintained septic tanks are common. Lack of awareness, insufficient funding, and cultural acceptance of caste-based occupational roles perpetuate the practice. Rehabilitation programs and skill development initiatives are often limited in rural areas, making it difficult for affected individuals to transition to alternative livelihoods.

This urban-rural divide demonstrates that policy interventions must be context-specific, addressing not only technological gaps but also socio-cultural and economic factors.

4. Role of Technology

Technological solutions exist that can significantly reduce or eliminate manual scavenging, yet their adoption remains limited:

1. **Mechanized Cleaning Machines:** Modern equipment, such as suction-based sewer cleaners, robotic desludging systems, and portable vacuum machines, can safely remove human waste without exposing workers to health hazards.
2. **Biosafety and Waste Management Innovations:** Technologies like bio-digesters, composting toilets, and ecological sanitation systems provide environmentally sustainable alternatives to insanitary latrines.

3. **Challenges to Adoption:** High costs, lack of awareness among local authorities, inadequate training for operators, and poor maintenance prevent widespread use of these technologies.
4. **Potential Impact:** Wider deployment of mechanized and eco-friendly solutions would not only protect human life but also improve public health, sanitation, and environmental sustainability, aligning with national development goals such as the vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*.

5. Summary of Contemporary Situation

In summary, the contemporary situation in India presents a paradox: while legal frameworks and government initiatives indicate progress, manual scavenging persists due to socio-economic inequality, infrastructural deficiencies, and limited technological adoption. Key observations include:

1. Government statistics understate the true prevalence of manual scavenging due to underreporting and survey limitations.
2. Occupational hazards, including sewer deaths and health complications, remain significant public health concerns.
3. Rural areas continue to rely heavily on manual methods, while urban centers have seen partial mechanization.
4. Technological solutions exist but are underutilized due to cost, training gaps, and institutional constraints.

Addressing these challenges requires an integrated approach combining law enforcement, technology adoption, rehabilitation programs, community participation, and robust monitoring systems. Only through a comprehensive and sustained effort can India eliminate manual scavenging and achieve safe, equitable, and environmentally sustainable sanitation practices.

Environmental Sustainability Perspective

Manual scavenging is not only a social and human rights issue but also a critical environmental and public health concern. Unsafe sanitation practices, including manual cleaning of sewers and septic tanks, have direct and indirect impacts on water, soil, and air quality. Addressing manual scavenging through mechanized and sustainable sanitation systems is therefore essential for environmental sustainability, public health, and resource efficiency.

1. Sanitation and Public Health

Improper waste management, which is closely tied to manual scavenging, poses severe risks to both public health and the environment. Some of the most pressing consequences include:

1. **Water Contamination:** Open drains, poorly maintained septic tanks, and manual handling of human excreta lead to the contamination of surface water, groundwater, and drinking water sources. Pathogens, including

bacteria, viruses, and parasites, spread easily through contaminated water, causing waterborne diseases such as cholera, dysentery, and hepatitis.

2. **Spread of Diseases:** Individuals involved in manual scavenging are directly exposed to human waste, making them highly vulnerable to infections. This occupational exposure also indirectly impacts communities when pathogens enter water bodies or soil. Children and elderly populations are particularly at risk in areas where open defecation or insanitary latrines persist.
3. **Environmental Degradation:** Unmanaged human waste contributes to soil pollution, the growth of harmful algal blooms in water bodies, and the emission of greenhouse gases such as methane and nitrous oxide. These factors exacerbate climate change and degrade local ecosystems.

The link between unsafe sanitation practices and environmental health highlights that eradicating manual scavenging is not only a moral and social imperative but also an ecological necessity.

2. Sustainable Waste Management

Transitioning to mechanized and eco-friendly sanitation systems can substantially reduce environmental pollution while improving public health outcomes. Sustainable waste management practices include:

1. **Mechanized Sewer and Septic Tank Cleaning:** Using suction-based, robotic, or vacuum-operated systems prevents direct human contact with waste and reduces occupational hazards. Mechanized systems also minimize spillage and contamination during cleaning operations.
2. **Improved Infrastructure:** Modern sanitation infrastructure, such as bio-toilets, piped sewer systems, and well-designed septic tanks, ensures safe containment and treatment of human waste. These systems limit exposure to pathogens and reduce environmental contamination.
3. **Resource Efficiency:** Efficient sanitation systems allow for the recovery of water, nutrients, and energy from waste, reducing dependency on chemical fertilizers and freshwater sources.
4. **Public Health Benefits:** Cleaner sanitation systems reduce the prevalence of waterborne diseases, improve community hygiene, and enhance overall quality of life, particularly in densely populated urban areas and rural settlements.

Adopting sustainable waste management practices thus aligns with India's national objectives, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which emphasize safe water, sanitation, and environmental protection.

3. Circular Economy Approach

Human waste, traditionally treated as a hazardous byproduct, can instead be leveraged as a valuable resource through a circular economy approach. This strategy integrates waste management with renewable energy production, agriculture, and water conservation:

1. **Biogas Generation:** Human excreta can be processed in biogas plants to produce methane, which can be used as a renewable energy source for cooking, lighting, and electricity generation. This reduces reliance on fossil fuels and lowers greenhouse gas emissions.
2. **Composting and Fertilizer Production:** Treated human waste can be converted into organic compost or bio-fertilizers, enriching soil fertility, reducing chemical fertilizer use, and promoting sustainable agriculture.
3. **Wastewater Recycling:** Proper treatment of sewage water allows it to be reused for irrigation, industrial purposes, or groundwater recharge, thereby conserving freshwater resources.

Implementing these approaches not only addresses environmental sustainability but also creates economic opportunities for communities previously engaged in manual scavenging. Training former manual scavengers to operate biogas plants, manage composting units, or participate in wastewater recycling programs links rehabilitation with eco-friendly development.

4. Implications for Policy and Practice

Integrating environmental sustainability into the eradication of manual scavenging has multiple implications:

1. **Policy Integration:** Sanitation, public health, and environmental policies must be harmonized to ensure safe and sustainable waste management. Mechanized systems should be incentivized, and local governments should be held accountable for environmental compliance.
2. **Economic and Social Benefits:** Eco-friendly sanitation projects create employment opportunities while enhancing public health and environmental quality.
3. **Alignment with National Vision:** Sustainable sanitation practices contribute directly to India's vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047* by promoting inclusive growth, technological innovation, and environmental stewardship.
4. **Community Empowerment:** Leveraging waste as a resource empowers communities, particularly rehabilitated manual scavengers, by providing skill development, economic independence, and social dignity.

By viewing human waste as a resource rather than a hazard, India can simultaneously eradicate manual scavenging, improve environmental quality, and create pathways for sustainable development.

Linking Manual Scavenging Eradication to the Vision of Viksit Bharat 2047

The vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*, which marks India's centenary of independence, is rooted in the principles of inclusive growth, technological advancement, and environmental sustainability. Eliminating manual scavenging is not merely a social or human rights imperative—it is a strategic component in achieving this national vision. By linking social inclusion, economic development, technological

innovation, and environmental sustainability, India can transform the lives of marginalized communities while building a modern, resilient, and equitable nation.

1. Social Inclusion

Eradicating manual scavenging is central to achieving social inclusion, a cornerstone of *Viksit Bharat 2047*.

1. **Ending Caste-Based Discrimination:** Manual scavenging has historically been associated with caste-based oppression, particularly targeting Dalits and other marginalized communities. Eliminating this occupation is essential for dismantling structural inequalities and promoting social justice.
2. **Ensuring Dignity and Equality:** Rehabilitation programs, skill development, and access to safe sanitation empower individuals previously subjected to dehumanizing work. By integrating these communities into mainstream society, India can uphold constitutional values of equality and human dignity.
3. **Community Empowerment:** Social inclusion also involves creating awareness, participatory governance, and active engagement of affected communities in decision-making processes. Empowered communities are more likely to advocate for safe sanitation, education, and employment opportunities, fostering long-term societal transformation.

By addressing social exclusion at its roots, India can ensure that marginalized populations are active participants in the nation's growth story.

2. Economic Development

The elimination of manual scavenging and rehabilitation of affected individuals directly contributes to economic development.

1. **Integration into the Formal Economy:** Through skill development programs, vocational training, and entrepreneurship support, rehabilitated individuals can transition from hazardous informal work to formal, sustainable employment. This integration increases household incomes and reduces dependence on exploitative labor.
2. **Enhanced Productivity:** Mechanization of sanitation systems not only improves worker safety but also increases efficiency in waste management. Trained individuals operating modern sanitation technologies contribute to higher productivity and better urban and rural sanitation outcomes.
3. **Inclusive Growth:** Targeted rehabilitation ensures that economic growth benefits marginalized communities, thereby reducing inequality and fostering equitable development. When previously excluded populations become active economic participants, India strengthens its human capital and broadens its growth base.

In this way, economic empowerment of rehabilitated manual scavengers aligns with the broader vision of a prosperous and inclusive India.

3. Technological Innovation

Technological advancement is a key pillar of *Viksit Bharat 2047*, and safe, mechanized sanitation offers a direct avenue to achieve this goal.

1. **Smart Cities Initiatives:** Investment in modern sanitation technology, such as automated sewer cleaning, bio-digesters, and IoT-enabled waste management systems, aligns with urban development initiatives under the Smart Cities Mission. These systems enhance efficiency, safety, and sustainability in urban infrastructure.
2. **Digital and Industrial Transformation:** Mechanization requires technical skills, training, and maintenance capabilities, creating opportunities for digital literacy, industrial employment, and innovation-driven entrepreneurship. Rehabilitation programs that integrate technology training equip marginalized communities to participate in India's digital and industrial transformation.
3. **Research and Development:** Promoting technological solutions in sanitation encourages innovation in bioengineering, renewable energy, and waste management. This contributes to national capacity-building and global competitiveness in eco-friendly technologies.

Technological innovation, therefore, is not only a tool to eradicate manual scavenging but also a driver of economic modernization and global competitiveness.

4. Environmental Goals

Sustainable sanitation practices contribute directly to environmental objectives, supporting India's commitment to climate resilience and sustainable development:

1. **Clean Water and Sanitation Goals:** Safe sanitation infrastructure prevents contamination of water bodies and reduces the incidence of waterborne diseases, advancing public health and achieving targets under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 6).
2. **Climate Resilience:** Mechanized and eco-friendly waste management reduces greenhouse gas emissions from open defecation and unmanaged sewage, contributing to climate mitigation efforts.
3. **Resource Efficiency and Circular Economy:** Treating human waste as a resource through composting, biogas production, and wastewater recycling enhances resource efficiency, reduces dependency on chemical fertilizers, and supports renewable energy generation.

Environmental sustainability reinforces the broader objectives of *Viksit Bharat 2047*, integrating social, economic, and technological solutions into a holistic model for development.

5. Integrated Perspective

The eradication of manual scavenging, when combined with rehabilitation, technological adoption, and sustainable waste management, embodies the multidimensional vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*. Key linkages include:

1. **Social Justice and Inclusion:** Ensures dignity, equality, and empowerment of marginalized communities.
2. **Economic Growth and Productivity:** Integrates rehabilitated workers into the formal economy and enhances labor efficiency through mechanization.
3. **Technological Advancement:** Promotes innovation, skill development, and adoption of modern sanitation technologies.
4. **Environmental Sustainability:** Reduces pollution, enhances public health, and leverages human waste as a resource.

By addressing the social, economic, technological, and environmental dimensions of manual scavenging, India can create a roadmap for inclusive and sustainable development, aligning with the nation's long-term vision for its centenary of independence.

Case Studies and Policy Recommendations

Eradicating manual scavenging in India requires more than legislation; it demands practical interventions, innovative solutions, and continuous engagement with affected communities. Examining successful rehabilitation programs and technological innovations provides insights into best practices, while policy recommendations offer pathways to strengthen implementation and ensure long-term sustainability.

Case Studies

1. Successful Rehabilitation Programs

Several states in India have demonstrated promising results in rehabilitating former manual scavengers, particularly when interventions combine government schemes, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and active community participation.

1. **Kerala's Rehabilitation Model:** The state of Kerala has implemented a comprehensive rehabilitation program that combines cash assistance, housing support, vocational training, and educational scholarships. NGOs and local community groups assist in identifying beneficiaries and facilitating skill development in areas such as tailoring, plumbing, and small-scale entrepreneurship. Continuous monitoring and follow-up have helped ensure that beneficiaries transition to safe and sustainable livelihoods.
2. **Maharashtra's Skill Development Initiatives:** Maharashtra has collaborated with vocational institutes and private sector partners to provide certified training in plumbing, electrical work, and mechanized sanitation.

Successful trainees have been integrated into municipal sanitation departments and private enterprises, reducing reliance on hazardous labor.

3. **Key Success Factors:** Across these programs, common success factors include proactive identification of beneficiaries, multi-stakeholder collaboration, regular monitoring, and linking rehabilitation to employment opportunities.

These case studies highlight that effective rehabilitation is possible when programs are context-specific, adequately funded, and inclusive of affected communities.

1. Technological Innovations

Technological solutions have proven to be highly effective in eliminating the need for manual labor in sanitation systems, reducing occupational hazards, and improving environmental outcomes.

1. **Robotic Sewer Cleaners:** In cities like Hyderabad and Pune, robotic sewer cleaning systems have been deployed to clean underground drains without human entry. These robots navigate narrow pipelines, remove sludge, and reduce human exposure to toxic gases and pathogens.
2. **Vacuum-Based Systems:** Several municipal corporations have adopted suction-based vacuum systems for septic tank cleaning. These machines safely extract human waste, minimizing contamination risks and eliminating manual intervention.
3. **Integrated Waste Management Technologies:** Innovations such as bio-digesters and eco-friendly toilets not only provide safe sanitation but also convert human waste into renewable energy and compost, contributing to environmental sustainability.
4. **Impact:** Technological interventions enhance public health, improve labor safety, and align with India's Smart Cities and environmental initiatives. Wider adoption requires investment, training, and maintenance infrastructure.

2. Policy Recommendations

Building on the lessons from case studies and contemporary challenges, a multi-pronged policy approach is necessary to fully eradicate manual scavenging while promoting sustainable sanitation.

2.1 Strengthening Implementation

Effective enforcement of the 2013 Act is essential to ensure compliance and protect human rights. Recommendations include:

1. **Regular Monitoring and Audits:** Establish state and district-level monitoring frameworks with clear reporting mechanisms. Periodic audits of sanitation practices, latrine construction, and rehabilitation program implementation can identify gaps and improve accountability.
2. **Strict Enforcement of Penalties:** Ensure timely and consistent enforcement of penalties against employers, contractors, and local authorities violating the prohibition on manual scavenging. Legal action must be swift to deter non-compliance.

2.2 Data Transparency

Accurate, accessible data is crucial for policy planning and monitoring progress:

1. **Independent Surveys:** Conduct third-party surveys to identify manual scavengers and assess the prevalence of insanitary sanitation infrastructure. Independent verification can reduce underreporting and provide a realistic picture for targeted interventions.
2. **Public Access to Data:** Data on sanitation infrastructure, rehabilitation programs, and beneficiary outcomes should be made publicly accessible, promoting transparency, accountability, and civil society engagement.

2.3 Technological Adoption

Mechanization and modern sanitation technology are central to eliminating hazardous manual labor:

1. **Subsidies for Mechanization:** Government should provide financial incentives, low-interest loans, and grants to municipal corporations, rural local bodies, and private sanitation service providers for acquiring mechanized cleaning equipment.
2. **Training Programs for Workers:** Former manual scavengers and sanitation staff should receive skill-based training to operate mechanized equipment, maintain sanitation systems, and participate in eco-friendly waste management initiatives.

2.4 Community Engagement

Community participation is critical for successful and sustainable outcomes:

1. **Awareness Campaigns:** Educate communities about the dangers of manual scavenging, benefits of safe sanitation, and available rehabilitation programs. Campaigns should be culturally sensitive and inclusive, leveraging local leaders and media channels.
2. **Inclusion of Affected Communities in Policymaking:** Engage former manual scavengers and marginalized communities in the design, monitoring, and evaluation of sanitation policies. Participation fosters ownership, accountability, and social empowerment.

2.5 Integrated Approach

A holistic approach ensures that sanitation reform aligns with broader developmental and environmental goals:

1. **Linking Sanitation Policies with Environmental and Urban Planning:** Mechanized and eco-friendly sanitation infrastructure should be integrated with urban development plans, housing projects, and rural environmental schemes. By aligning sanitation, housing, water management, and climate resilience initiatives, India can achieve synergistic outcomes.
2. **Promoting Circular Economy Models:** Policies should encourage the recovery and reuse of human waste as a resource through biogas generation, composting, and wastewater recycling, linking sanitation reform with environmental sustainability and economic opportunity.

Conclusion

Manual scavenging remains one of the most enduring social and environmental challenges in India, persisting despite decades of legislative and policy interventions. The enactment of the *Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act, 2013* was a landmark step, formally recognizing the inhumane and hazardous nature of this occupation and introducing mechanisms for the identification, rehabilitation, and protection of affected communities. The Act provided a strong legal and institutional foundation, yet its success depends on more than statutory provisions—it requires comprehensive implementation, technological innovation, and profound societal transformation.

Beyond Legislation: The Need for Effective Implementation

While the 2013 Act clearly prohibits manual scavenging, enforcement challenges have persisted. Underreporting of manual scavengers, bureaucratic inertia, inadequate monitoring, and inconsistent rehabilitation programs have limited the law's impact. Effective implementation requires:

1. Strengthening institutional mechanisms at local, district, and state levels.
2. Ensuring transparency and accountability in reporting and auditing sanitation practices.
3. Linking rehabilitation programs to sustainable employment opportunities, particularly through skill development and vocational training.

Without these measures, legislation alone cannot eradicate the practice, leaving marginalized communities exposed to ongoing exploitation, health hazards, and social stigma.

Technological Innovation as a Catalyst for Change

Mechanized and eco-friendly sanitation solutions offer a practical and scalable route to eliminating manual scavenging while improving public health and environmental outcomes. Technologies such as robotic sewer cleaners, vacuum-based systems, bio-digesters, and eco-friendly toilets:

1. Reduce human exposure to hazardous waste.
2. Improve operational efficiency and maintenance of sanitation infrastructure.
3. Enable the recovery of valuable resources, such as energy and compost, contributing to environmental sustainability.

Investment in these technologies, coupled with worker training and maintenance programs, can transform sanitation systems in both urban and rural areas. Technological innovation, therefore, is not just a tool for occupational safety but also a driver of sustainable development and economic empowerment for marginalized communities.

Societal Transformation and Social Inclusion

Eradicating manual scavenging is deeply intertwined with social justice and the fight against caste-based discrimination. The occupation has historically been imposed on marginalized communities, and its elimination is a prerequisite for achieving equality, dignity, and social inclusion.

Key steps toward societal transformation include:

1. Community engagement and awareness campaigns to change social norms and reduce stigma.
2. Active involvement of rehabilitated workers in policymaking and monitoring of sanitation initiatives.
3. Education, skill development, and livelihood programs that integrate marginalized individuals into the mainstream economy.

Such measures empower communities, foster inclusivity, and ensure that the eradication of manual scavenging is not only a legal mandate but also a social reality.

Environmental Sustainability and Resource Efficiency

Manual scavenging is also an environmental issue. Unsafe handling of human waste leads to water contamination, soil degradation, and public health hazards. Transitioning to mechanized, eco-friendly, and circular sanitation systems allows India to:

1. Reduce environmental pollution and protect water and soil resources.
2. Recycle human waste into energy, fertilizers, and treated water, contributing to a circular economy.

3. Enhance climate resilience by lowering greenhouse gas emissions from unmanaged sanitation systems.

By aligning sanitation reform with environmental sustainability, India can simultaneously protect ecosystems, improve public health, and create economic opportunities, exemplifying the multidimensional benefits of reform.

Towards Viksit Bharat 2047

Eliminating manual scavenging, ensuring rehabilitation, and modernizing sanitation infrastructure are integral to the broader vision of *Viksit Bharat 2047*. This vision emphasizes:

1. **Inclusive Growth:** Integrating marginalized communities into the economy and ensuring social justice.
2. **Technological Advancement:** Leveraging innovation to create safe, efficient, and sustainable sanitation systems.
3. **Environmental Sustainability:** Adopting eco-friendly practices to safeguard natural resources and public health.

By integrating legislative action, technological solutions, environmental strategies, and social reforms, India can achieve a future where dignity, equality, and sustainability are guaranteed for all citizens. The eradication of manual scavenging is not merely a legal or technical challenge—it is a moral, social, and developmental imperative. India's progress in this area reflects its commitment to human rights, environmental stewardship, and inclusive national development. The path forward requires a holistic approach: combining effective implementation of laws, widespread adoption of innovative technologies, robust rehabilitation programs, active community participation, and environmental management. Only through coordinated and sustained efforts can India ensure that every citizen enjoys the fundamental rights of dignity, safety, and opportunity, transforming sanitation from a site of oppression into a symbol of empowerment, equity, and sustainable growth. Ultimately, the fight against manual scavenging is a test of India's resolve to build a society that is fair, safe, and resilient—paving the way for the realization of *Viksit Bharat 2047*.

References

1. Government of India. (2013). Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and their Rehabilitation Act.
2. National Commission for Safai Karamcharis. (2022). Annual report 2021–2022. Government of India. <https://ncsk.nic.in> Census of India Data
3. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India. (2011). Census of India 2011: Primary census abstract. Government of India. <https://censusindia.gov.in> World Bank Sanitation Reports
4. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. (2023). Annual report 2022–2023. Government of India. <https://socialjustice.gov.in>
5. World Bank. (2021). *Water supply, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) poverty diagnostic initiative*. World Bank Publications. <https://www.worldbank.org>
6. Prüss-Ustün, A., Wolf, J., Bartram, J., Clasen, T., Cumming, O., Freeman, M. C., & Cairncross, S. (2019). Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 222(5), 765–777. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.05.004>
7. Wolf, J., Hunter, P. R., Freeman, M. C., Cumming, O., Clasen, T., Bartram, J., & Prüss-Ustün, A. (2018). Impact of drinking water, sanitation and handwashing on childhood diarrhoeal disease. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 23(5), 508–525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13051>

Website:

1. <https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/in-over-90-of-sewer-deaths-workers-had-no-safety-gear-government-audit/article69842638.ece> accessed date on April 4, 2026
2. <https://www.downtoearth.org.in/climate-change/invisible-deaths-how-indias-climate-crisis-abandons-its-sanitation-workers> accessed date on April 4, 2026
3. <https://www.pib.gov.in/Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1806509®=3&lang=2> accessed date on April 4, 2026
4. <https://nskfdc.nic.in/en/content/home/success-story> accessed date on April 4, 2026
5. <https://scroll.in/latest/1029762/no-manual-scavengers-in-india-but-330-died-cleaning-sewers-from-2017-to-2021-centre-tells-lok-sabha> accessed date on April 4, 2026