



Revolutionizing Learning: The Impact Of Digital Era Distance Education On Institutions, Technology, And Society

¹Uma Devi, ²Dr. Sunita Rani

¹Research Scholar, ²Professor

¹Haryana School of Business,

¹Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology,

Hisar, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

In the digital age, distance learning has changed completely, moving from conventional mail courses to innovative web-based and artificial intelligence-powered learning platforms. The historical development, institutional frameworks, technology developments, educational approaches, and social consequences of distance education are all methodically examined in this review study. The report identifies significant advancements that have improved the efficacy and accessibility of digital education, such as the emergence of Learning Management Systems (LMS), AI-based tutoring, mobile learning (M-learning), and satellite-based education like EDUSAT.

Although distance education has many benefits, it also has some drawbacks, including issues related to infrastructure, student participation, digital inequality, and psychological effects like digital fatigue and social isolation. Alongside government programs like Digital India and the National Education Policy 2020, the role of Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU) and other open-access universities in enhancing educational parity is examined. The analysis also highlights important research needs in the areas of hybrid model efficacy, artificial intelligence (AI) integration in personalized education, and the prospective viability of digital learning.

The literature shows that while distance education plays a crucial role in democratizing access to learning, addressing technological, pedagogical, and policy-related barriers is essential for its future development. It suggests that future research should focus on optimizing digital infrastructure, developing student engagement techniques, and refining online pedagogical models to ensure an inclusive and high-quality education system globally.

KEYWORDS: Distance Education, Learning Management Systems (LMS), Digital Divide, AI in Education, Educational Policy, Student Engagement.

INTRODUCTION

The United Nations (1948) declared that education is a human right and that it is one of the most powerful tools for development since it lowers poverty and promotes equality, health, and peace (Valdés Alvarado, 2019). Education fosters employment, poverty reduction, economic growth, and social cohesion for both individuals and societies (World Bank, 2020b). The importance of education is further demonstrated by the United Nations General Assembly's Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development Resolution, which has education as its specific development goal number 4 (Boeren, 2019). This goal aims to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and to promote opportunities for lifelong learning for all. Target 4.4 is defined as significantly raising the proportion of young people and adults with the necessary abilities, including vocational and technical skills, for employment, respectable occupations, and entrepreneurship. The United Nations General Assembly (2015) identified digital education as a means of equipping students with the skills needed in a workforce of the twenty-first century (Internet Society, 2017; World Economic Forum, 2020a, 2020b).

In recent decades, the landscape of education has undergone significant transformation with the advent of distance education (DE), also known as distance learning. As early as the 1700s, there were indications of remote learning. As a matter of fact, the first shorthand distance classes were promoted by an instructor named Caleb Phillips in Boston, Massachusetts in 1728. However, correspondence learning did not fully take off until the 1800s, when the U.S. mail system was at its peak. There is more to distance learning than just American history. Swedish newspapers all around the country began offering letter composing classes in 1833, and they quickly spread throughout Europe. In Great Britain, shorthand courses were sent by mail in 1840, while in Germany, teachers Charles Toussaint and Gustav Langenscheidt sent language lessons by mail in 1856, according to the International Museum of Distance Education and Technology. Universities soon realized how important it was for students to have an education regardless of where they lived. Pennsylvania State College began airing its classes on radio networks in 1922. Even television classes were provided by the University of Houston in 1953! By the late 1960s, distant education was starting to gain traction under the term "independent study." At the same time, the first iterations of the internet were created, which would soon transform distance education (*The Evolution of Distance Learning* Tulane, n.d.-b).

As defined by Allen & Seaman (2017) distance learning provides "access to learning when the source of information and the learners are separated by time and distance, or both." In many cases, hybrid or blended learning models complement this, where students may be required to attend in-person sessions, particularly for exams or practical components.

The rise of the World Wide Web has greatly contributed to the modernization of distance education, ushering it into the digital era. The Internet has enhanced the accessibility and scalability of educational content, reaching a broad global audience. According to Al-Azawei & Lundqvist (2015), online education, a subset of distance learning, has emerged as a leading mode of instruction due to its flexibility and convenience. However, a contradiction in the literature persists, as noted by Kaushik (2022), who observes that many students do not perceive online education to be as adequate as face-to-face instruction, particularly for disciplines such as music, which require hands-on, practical training.

In light of the industry 4.0 revolution, the role of education is evolving to meet the demands of a rapidly changing world. Education 4.0 represents the education sector's response to Industry 4.0, where technological advancements and internet accessibility are pushing distance learning to the forefront of education systems worldwide (Baygin et al., 2016). To prepare for the future, the education system must train students to be adept at working in this interconnected and digitalized world. New learning paradigms such as Web-Based Learning

(WBL), E-learning, Mobile Learning (M-learning), and collaborative online work are becoming integral parts of many academic courses.

From a traditional standpoint, e-learning is an online learning environment that makes use of a variety of multimedia tools. As learning is facilitated by the texts, interactive images, and videos, it guarantees that students are completely engaged. Information technology integration improves instruction and learning outcomes while lowering learning expenses in traditional classroom settings (Songkram et al., 2015). Additionally, learners have the flexibility to learn at any time and from any location thanks to e-learning. There are several circumstances in which the use of e-learning in the education sector is advantageous (Raspopovic et al., n.d.). Numerous benefits of e-learning in higher education have been documented by research in the literature, including faster goal completion times and a more learner-centric approach to instruction. Instead of being the exclusive source of information, teachers serve as facilitators in online learning environments (Yeo & Lee, 2013). The COVID-19 epidemic has had a significant impact on the world's higher education system, making the transition to online learning necessary and solidifying it as the "new normal" (Mok et al., 2021). Several lockdowns in India led to the closure and reopening of educational facilities. Then, new viral variations persisted in endangering normalcy despite a praiseworthy immunization campaign. Then, this uncertainty sent students into a state of psychosis, discouraging them from going overseas to continue their study, particularly if they were from nations where the virus had a serious effect. Nonetheless, a number of countries changed their plans to draw in foreign students as the world learned to live with the virus. People's mental health and general well-being have been significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide. A new sickness has created mental health difficulties in certain residents, while others have effectively adjusted to the realities of the pandemic and societal lockdowns (Serdakova et al., 2023). On the one hand, distance learning and the virtualization of the educational environment have eliminated disparities in underdeveloped rural areas and guaranteed everyone's equal access to education. On the other hand, social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic necessitated a special learning environment, but it has also led to a rise in psychological disorders globally, including protracted episodes of counterproductive anxiety, depression, and obsessive-compulsive disorder, among others (Clemente-Suárez et al., 2021).

Despite its challenges, distance learning has proven effective in increasing educational access and flexibility, allowing learners to engage in knowledge-sharing across geographic boundaries. Online education has been particularly impactful in industries and higher education institutions globally, supporting those unable to participate in traditional in-person instruction (Bower, 2019; Gonzalez et al., 2020). With the ongoing advances in digital technology, distance learning is set to play a vital role in shaping the future of education, offering innovative opportunities for students and educators alike.

CONCEPT AND BACKGROUND

LOOKING BACK

Distance education, while often viewed as a modern innovation, has deep historical roots that can be explored through various handbooks and dissertations dedicated to the field. These resources trace its development from early correspondence courses in the 19th century to today's digital and online learning platforms. By examining historical trends, such as the use of postal systems to distribute educational materials or the broadcast of lessons via radio and television, one can see how distance education has evolved in response to societal needs. These historical overviews not only provide context for current practices but also highlight enduring challenges and opportunities in expanding access to education across geographic and social barriers (Berge & Mrozowski, 2001; Lee, Driscoll, & Nelson, 2007).

The pioneers of distance learning did more than just establish alternative ways to educate—they humanized the process, recognizing the unique challenges and opportunities presented by remote education. Their work, driven by a commitment to expanding access, was often experimental, responding to the needs of diverse populations who were geographically or socially isolated. By examining their experiences, modern distance educators can glean valuable insights into the motivations, struggles, and successes that shaped early practices. These historical sources are essential, not just for understanding the evolution of the field, but for appreciating the foundational values of inclusivity and accessibility that still guide distance education today (Burge, 2008).

Nipper (1989) proposed a three-generation approach to remote education, connecting computer conferencing, production, and distribution. Nipper was the first to employ a generational paradigm. Consequently, these three generations were called broadcast, computer mediated, and correspondence.

Most people agree that the first two generations are acceptable. But other authors have created somewhat distinct generations, drawing on the work of Nipper. While (Taylor 2001) claims the third generation was founded on telelearning (audio/video conferencing), (Moore & Kearsley, 2005) characterize it as establishing a systems approach. Taylor goes on to propose a fifth generation that makes use of additional features of "intelligent" digital technology, and a fourth generation associated with flexible learning based on online instruction.

EVOLUTION OF DISTANCE EDUCATION IN INDIA:

India is home to one of the biggest distance learning systems globally, surpassed only by China (University Grants Commission, 2017). Many different kinds of educational institutions, including National Open Universities, State Open Universities, Central Universities, State Universities, deemed to be Universities, and State Private Universities, provide distance education in India. In addition, separate institutions like professional distance education schools (DEIS) provide distance learning associations, governmental bodies, and private establishments. Reducing educational disadvantage is the goal of the Delivering Equality of Opportunity in Schools (DEIS) program. It is a policy of the Department of Education. Schools with the greatest proportion of kids at risk of educational disadvantage receive additional funding under the DEIS program. Under the DEIS framework, several pre-existing programs were combined (Citizens Information, 2024).

ELEMENTARY LEVEL - India was in the forefront of integrating radio into classrooms. The early 1950s saw the introduction of radio as a means of improving the traditional curriculum. Although television has been used for education since its launch in 1959, three noteworthy advancements have place in the 1970s. The Indian government's satellite television experiment (SITE) was operational from 1975 to 1976. Twenty-three villages spread across six states—Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Bihar, Orissa, Rajasthan, and Madhya Pradesh—were exposed to a specially crafted television show that was sent straight over the ATS-6 satellite. In addition to regional programming for viewers in rural areas of the aforementioned states, the Indian National Satellite (INSAT) program presently provides educational content for elementary school children. These are broadcast transmitted in Marathi, Tamil, Hindi, and Gujarati (Burdina et al., 2018).

SECONDARY LEVEL - The secondary level in order to raise the academic standards of private students, the Conference of Boards of Secondary Education proposed correspondence courses in 1965, which led to the birth of correspondence education. In 1965, the Madhya Pradesh Board of Secondary Education introduced correspondence courses for the first time. The Paracha Vidyalaya in Delhi opened its doors in 1968. The Boards of Secondary Education of Rajasthan, Orissa, and Correspondence and Continuing Education in 1962, marking the beginning of distance education in India. The University Grants Commission created rules and supplied seed money to support the establishment of correspondence course institutes (CCI) in partnership with the

Education Commission (1964–1966). During a 1970 conference co-sponsored by the University Grants Commission and the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, the concept of creating an open university was put forth with the goal of offering top-notch education to the "vast majority of the people" who do not attend college. Known as B.R. Ambedkar Open University, the first open university was founded in Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, in 1982. The IGNOU was founded in 1985. More state open universities have been established as a result of the open university's successful development as a single-mode institution. India has one of the biggest ODE systems in the world, comprising of over 100 Directorates of Distance Education (DDEs) operating under Dual Mode Universities (DMUs), 15 Open Universities (OUs), and over 1.7 million students pursuing higher education through these channels (UGC, 2019).

PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS AND TECHNOLOGY CHOICES:

Numerous academic and commercial organizations have offered comprehensive solutions for setting up remote learning environments with connectivity to a central resource hub at any time or location. The next section covers the chosen organizations, tools, solutions, learning pathways, etc.

The institutions providing virtual classrooms:

IGNOU, NEW DELHI: With a network of 48 regional centers, six sub-regional centers, and more than 1,200 study centers spread throughout India, the university serves around 1.3 million distance learners in that country as well as 30 other nations. It uses TV broadcast technologies to provide students across the nation with certain of its courses. In order to promote communication between teachers and students, it has constructed two-way audio and video conferencing capabilities at 175 nodes around India. It also aims to integrate the internet, teleconferencing, and broadcasting into a composite learning experience with its "Virtual Campus" effort, which delivers digital education via multimedia CDROMs and the internet. All regional centers, State Open Universities (BraOU, Hyderabad; VMOU, Kota; Nalanda Open University, Patna) and the IGNOU serve as a nodal agency for EDUSAT. Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik; Madhya Pradesh Bhoj Open University, Bhopal; Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Open University, Ahmedabad; Karnataka State Open University, Mysore; Netaji Subhas Open University, Calcutta; UP Rajarshi Tandon Open University, Allahabad; Tamil Nadu Open University, Chennai and participating technical institutions, acts as receiving nodes. It has plans to offer agriculture education for farmers and rural community (Rao, 2006b).

BRAOU, HYDERABAD: Approximately 450,000 students attend the university's 152 study centers. There are four study centers in jails, seven in isolated tribal communities, and eight in nearby colleges. Modern TV and radio studios house it; lectures and panel discussions are taped and aired on state-run media for a few hours per week. The university's campus was outfitted with a Ku band earth station, and it has ambitions to use new technologies to DE, connecting its study centers and local areas via WAN and connecting the campus and local regions through local area networks (Rao, 2004b).

The networks facilitating virtual classrooms through participatory institutions Include:

ERNET INDIA: ERNET was created in 1986 and serves as an academic and research institution-only version of an indigenous internet. It plays a crucial role in the higher education industry as essential infrastructure (Jestin & Parameswari, 2005).

EUROPE *STAR: For video conferencing, internet broadcasting, and direct video streaming to PCs, Europe *Star offers both point-to-point and multipoint data, voice, and video content delivery. Its cost-effectiveness is increased by the pay-as-you-use model. Installing 45–60-centimeter satellite dishes in rural Indian communities is a more economical solution than developing an entire terrestrial network, with 50,000 schools potentially being connected annually. Africa, Europe, the Middle East, and the Indian subcontinent are all

touched by Europe *Star, which has grown to be a significant participant in the developing DE scenario (Alam, 2020).

EDUSAT: In response to the need for an interactive satellite-based distance education system, the first Indian satellite was constructed specifically for the educational sector. It has six extended C-band transponders with national coverage beams, five Ku-band transponders with spot beams, and one Ku-band transponder with a nationwide beam. For elementary, secondary, university, technical, and e-governance education, it offers six distinct channels. The goals of EDUSAT include enhancing DE programs started by others, increasing community involvement and monitoring, offering access to qualified resource people, and providing effective teacher training that complements curriculum-based instruction. Its entire potential might be seen as e-connectivity for universities, UGC, AICTE, DST, DBT, and others to share information on all facets of education (Indian Space Research Organisation, n.d.).

THE EMERGENCE AND CHALLENGES OF DISTANCE EDUCATION

Amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, distance education became a feasible substitute for conventional classroom instruction. Research suggests that online learning can produce results that are on par with or even better than traditional classroom instruction, fostering cost-effectiveness, improved learning, and increased digital literacy (Journell, 2010; Kong & Jacobs, 2012; Xie et al., 2020). Digital India and other initiatives have greatly improved educational accessibility in India, especially in rural regions (Aich, 2021; National Informatics Centre & Prajapati, 2020).

Distance learning comes with a lot of challenges even with its benefits. Time zone differences provide challenges for overseas students, the digital divide, and inadequate equipment and internet facilities in distant places all reduce the success of the program (Joshi et al., 2021; Oyedotun, 2020; Patil et al., 2020). Access to technology and reliable internet connections are critical for the success of distance learning (Shohel et al., 2022).

Distance education's environment is always changing, reflecting both potential and difficulties. According to Wang et al. (2022), the use of artificial intelligence into online learning platforms has the potential to augment student engagement and furnish customized learning experiences.

Furthermore, the growing popularity of hybrid education models—which blend online and in-person instruction—offers flexibility and enhances academic results (Allen & Seaman, 2021; WorldBank, 2021). Comprehending the fundamentals of computers is a prerequisite for distance education. Regrettably, a lot of pupils lack the necessary knowledge and abilities to use programs like PowerPoint, Word, and Excel. Learners may find it difficult to access study resources, relevant icons, and apps connected to communication if they lack digital literacy (View Sonic, 2021).

Uttar Pradesh is some of the other organizations that provide correspondence courses for students in grades 10 through 12. In order to provide at-home instruction for out-of-school students, an Open School was founded in New Delhi in 1979. In September 1988, the 12th grade senior secondary course began. Delhi Open School was improved in 1989 to become National Open School (NOS), an independent organization that offers instruction using the Open Learning System (Kumar & Kumar, 2022).

RESEARCH GAPS

The necessity of comprehensive research on the efficiency of IT adoption in remote learning, especially in crisis-driven environments, with an emphasis on differences in technology access between socioeconomic categories and geographical areas. Even while general online learning has been the subject of numerous studies, little is known about how various teaching philosophies and online evaluation methods affect student participation and performance in emergency scenarios, like the COVID-19 pandemic. This gap is particularly

noticeable in places like the Philippines or Arab nations, where there is a dearth of study on the psychological effects of crisis-driven education and socio cultural influences on students (Ada et al., 2022). Additionally, not much study has been done on the long-term viability of distant education programs or how well institutional changes work to modify these programs for different contexts. In order to guarantee equity, accessibility, and quality in both traditional and crisis-driven remote education, future research should create specialized educational approaches and institutional initiatives. This research gap underscores the need for a deeper exploration of the interplay between technology, pedagogy, and student experience, particularly in under-researched regions and crisis contexts (Moore, 2023).

According to the studies, there is a lack of knowledge regarding how values—in particular, openness to change (OC)—modify students' intentions to use online learning. Although the research now in publication recognizes the influence of a number of variables, including perceived security and performance anticipation, the precise function of OC as a moderator has not been well investigated. By showing that there are stronger correlations between these qualities and students' inclination to use online learning, this study helps close this gap. Future studies should look more closely at how other values affect how people actually use online learning technologies (Tewari et al., 2023).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper uses a systematic literature review methodology to study how distance education has evolved, transformed technologically, institutional structures, and implications to the society during the digital era with specific reference to the Indian context. The relevant literature was gathered with the help of the existing academic databases, including Scopus, ERIC, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, as well as reports of the credible national and international organizations. The review included peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and conference proceedings, as well as policy documents that were published within the period between 2000 and 2024. Relevance and academic credibility of the selected studies were screened and a thematic approach was used to analyze the final set of sources. Under significant themes associated with the historical process of distance education, digital technologies, institutional practices, pedagogical and psychological aspects and policy initiatives, the literature was synthesized. This methodical review made it possible to discover the main tendencies, obstacles, and gaps in the research about digital distance education.

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic dramatically accelerated the adoption of digital learning platforms and resources, as schools worldwide closed and governments refrained from interfering with distance education. This surge revealed the potential of digital education to support learning systems in times of crisis. However, for digital education to truly transform education systems, it must go beyond mere access to resources. It needs to disseminate relevant information, offer high-quality instruction, and cultivate essential skills, ensuring learners are universally literate and equipped to thrive in a technologically advanced society (Martín & Ramos, 2022). India's education system has undergone significant changes since the country's division, aiming to provide education for all social classes. Despite efforts by public and private organizations, the goal of mass education within a formal framework remained elusive. Population growth, gender inequality, poverty, and socio-cultural challenges contributed to this shortfall. Furthermore, the scarcity of schools and educational infrastructure in remote areas prevented many from receiving formal education. This backdrop led to the rise of alternate educational approaches, particularly distance learning, which gained traction through open schools and colleges to meet the public's growing demand for accessible education (Kaur, J., & Aggarwal, V. (2018). To raise educational standards, sharing information across institutions through IT-enabled networks is essential. India has made policy advancements to integrate technology into education, but more needs to be done. A blend of IT-enabled education technology, appropriate instructional methods, and funding is required

for widespread implementation. India already has the necessary infrastructure, including fiber optics, broadband, satellites, and supplementary media, to support distance learners. Harnessing these resources offers a cost-effective way to play a global role in education through technology-enabled distance learning (DL) (Rao, S. S. (2006e).

Though some may hesitate to identify as remote instructors, the legacy of distance learning continues to influence modern education. The methods pioneered by early distance educators laid the groundwork for today's online and hybrid approaches. As the boundaries between distance and on-campus learning blur, "distance-type approaches" are becoming more common, with teachers regularly incorporating online activities into their lessons. While innovation and enthusiasm are necessary for advancing distance education, understanding its history and best practices is equally important. Educators must critically evaluate pedagogies and technologies to ensure they continue to build on the legacy of distance learning, offering high-quality education for future generations (Anderson, B., & Simpson, M. 2024).

REFERENCES

- Ada, J. M. P., Matutes, K. C. B., Fragata, J. P., & Panoril, A. P. C. (2022). *Distance Education in the Philippines: A Review on Online Instruction and Evaluation*. [WWJMRD 2022; 8\(05\): 68-74](#)
www.wwjmr.com
- Aich, T. (2021). Digital India: A review of the initiatives and outcomes. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 14(2), 56–69. <https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1402.04>
- Alam, M. (2020). Role of IT in distance education. *International Journal of Exclusive Global Research*, 4(11). <https://www.ijegr.com>
- Al-Azawei, A., & Lundqvist, K. (2015). Learner differences in perceived satisfaction of online learning: An extension of the technology acceptance model in an Arabic sample. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 13(5), 412–430.
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017). *Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2017*. Babson Survey Research Group. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED580868.pdf>
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2021). *Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2021*. Babson Survey Research Group. <https://www.babson.edu/academics/centers/blank-center-for-entrepreneurship/research/digital-learning-compass/>
- Valdés Alvarado, M. A. (2019). Use and Implementation of ICT's in Education of Indigenous Communities: A Matter of Human Rights. *2019 International Conference on Inclusive Technologies and Education (CONTIE)*, 154–1545. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CONTIE49246.2019.00037>
- Anderson, B., & Simpson, M. (2024). History and heritage in distance education. *Journal of Open, Flexible and Distance Learning*, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.61468/jofdl.v27i2.663>
- Baygin, M., Yetis, H., Karakose, M., & Akin, E. (2016). An effect analysis of Industry 4.0 on higher education. In *2016 15th International Conference on Information Technology-Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET)* (pp. 1–4). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITHET.2016.7760704>
- Berge, Z. L., & Mrozowski, S. (2001). Review of research in distance education, 1990 to 1999. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 15(3), 5–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923640109527090>
- Boeren, E. (2019). Understanding Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4 on “quality education” from micro, meso and macro perspectives. *International Review of Education*, 65(2), 277–294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-019-09772-7>
- Burdina, G. M., Krapotkina, I. E., & Nasyrova, L. G. (2018). Distance learning in Elementary School Classrooms: An Emerging Framework for Contemporary practice. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.1211a>

- Bower, M. (2019). Technology-mediated learning theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(3), 1035–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12771>
- Burge, E. J. (2008). ‘Crafting the future’: Pioneer lessons and concerns for today. *Distance Education*, 29(1), 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587910802004811>
- Citizens Information. (2024). *Measures to address educational disadvantage*. <https://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/education/the-irish-education-system/measures-to-address-educational-disadvantage/>
- Clemente-Suárez, V. J., Navarro-Jiménez, E., Jimenez, M., Hormeño-Holgado, A., Martinez-Gonzalez, M. B., Benitez-Agudelo, J. C., Perez-Palencia, N., Laborde-Cárdenas, C. C., & Tornero-Aguilera, J. F. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic in public mental health: An extensive narrative review. *Sustainability*, 13, 3221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su1306322>.
- Indian Space Research Organisation. (n.d.). *EDUSAT*. <https://www.isro.org/edusat/>
- Internet Society. (2017). *Internet access and education: Key considerations for policymakers*. <https://www.internetsociety.org/resources/doc/2017/internet-access-and-education/>
- Jestin, J., & Parameswari, B. (2005). Challenges for library professionals in India in the new Millennium. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 4(2). <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1030&context=libphilprac>
- Joshi, A., Bhaskar, P., & Gupta, K. P. (2021). Prioritising barriers of online teaching during COVID-19 from teachers’ perspective: Using the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Knowledge and Learning*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJKL.2021.10012345>
- Journell, W. (2010). Perceptions of e-learning in secondary education: A viable alternative to classroom instruction or a way to bypass engaged learning? *Educational Media International*, 47(1), 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523981003625090>
- Kaur, J., & Aggarwal, V. (2018). Evolution of distance education in India: From pre-mordial to contemporary epoch. *International Journal of Education*, 9. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358675248>
- Kaushik, S. (2022). A perception-based study on online education among higher education students: With special reference to music education. *Asian Resonance*, 11, 10–19.
- Kong, Y. J., & Jacobs, R. L. (2012). A comparison of the practices used by human resource development professionals to evaluate web-based and classroom-based training programmes within seven Korean companies. *Human Resource Development International*, 15(1), 79–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2012.658632>
- Lee, Y., Driscoll, M. P., & Nelson, D. W. (2007). Trends in research: A content analysis of major journals. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), *Handbook of distance education* (2nd ed., pp. 31–42).
- Martín, A. V. D., & Ramos, J. M. L. (2022). DEIFDC framework: Evaluation of digital education deployment in India in the midst of the Covid-19 pandemic. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 6(1), 100281 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2022.100281>.
- Mok, K. H., Xiong, W., Ke, G., & Cheung, J. O. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on international higher education and student mobility: Student perspectives from Mainland China and Hong Kong. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 105, Article 101718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101718>
- Moore, M. G. (2023). From correspondence education to online distance education. In *Handbook of Open, Distance and Digital Education*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-2080-6_2
- Moore, M. G., & Kearsley, G. (2005). *Distance education: A systems view* (2nd ed.). Thomson/Wadsworth.

- National Informatics Centre (NIC), & Prajapati, R. (2020). *Digital India: Transforming education through technology*.
- Nipper, S. (1989). Third generation distance learning and computer conferencing. In R. Mason & A. Kaye (Eds.), *Mindweave: Communication, computers and distance education* (pp. 63–73). Pergamon Press.
- Oyedotun, T. D. (2020). Sudden change of pedagogy in education driven by COVID-19: Perspectives and evaluation from a developing country. *Research in Globalization*, 2, Article 100029. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2020.100029>
- Patil, P., Chaudhari, P., & Deshmukh, S. (2020). Challenges and opportunities in online education in India during COVID-19. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 34(1), 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2020/v34/i1/152847>
- Rao, S. S. (2004b). E-book technologies in education and India's readiness. *Program Electronic Library and Information Systems*, 38(4), 257–267. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00330330410566097>
- Rao, S. S. (2006b). Distance education and the role of IT in India. *The Electronic Library*, 24(2), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470610660396>
- Rao, S. S. (2006e). Distance education and the role of IT in India. *The Electronic Library*, 24(2), 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470610660396>
- Raspopovic, M., Cvetanovic, S., & Jankulovic, A. (n.d.-b). Challenges of Transitioning to an e-learning System with Learning Objects Capabilities. *Challenges of Transitioning to an E-learning System with Learning Objects Capabilities*, 17(1).
- Serdakova, A., Shustikova, N., Kishkin, N., Asafaylo, M., & Kravtsov, N. (2023). The study of personal factors of adolescents affecting their attitudes towards the success and failure of the other. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 12(1), 225–235. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v12i1.23867>.
- Songkram, N., Khlaisang, J., Puthaseranee, B., & Likhitudamrongkiat, M. (2015). E-learning system to enhance cognitive skills for learners in higher education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 667–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.599>.
- Shohel, M. M., Shams, S., Ashrafuzzaman, M., Alam, A. S., Mamun, M. A., & Kabir, M. M. (2022). Emergency remote teaching and learning. In *Handbook of research on Asian perspectives of the educational impact of COVID-19* (pp. 175–200).
- Taylor, J. (2001). *Fifth generation distance education*. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.113.3781&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Tewari, A., Singh, R., Mathur, S., & Pande, S. (2023). A modified UTAUT framework to predict students' intention to adopt online learning: moderating role of openness to change. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 40(2), 130–147. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijilt-04-2022-0093>
- *The Evolution of Distance Learning* | tulane. (n.d.-b). <https://sopa.tulane.edu/blog/evolution-distance-learning>
- Nations, U. (2011). Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1948. *The United Nations*, 6.
- University Grants Commission. (2017). *Distance education bureau*. <http://www.ugc.ac.in/deb/on22June,2017>
- University Grants Commission. (2019). *Distance education bureau*. <http://www.ugc.ac.in/deb/on22June,2017>

- ViewSonic. (2021). *What are the challenges faced during distance learning?* https://www.viewsonic.com/in/presscenter/content/what-are-the-challenges-faced-during-distance-learning_4172
- Wang, T., Liu, X., & Zhang, H. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence in online education. *Computers & Education*, 180, Article 104435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104435>
- World Bank. (2020). *Education context*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/education/overview>
- World Bank. (2021). *The impact of COVID-19 on education systems*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/immersive-story/2021/01/22/urgent-effective-action-required-to-quell-the-impact-of-covid-19-on-educationworldwide>
- World Economic Forum. (2020). *Jobs of tomorrow: Mapping opportunity in the new economy*. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Jobs_of_Tomorrow_2020.pdf
- Xie, X., Siau, K., & Nah, F. F. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic – online education in the new normal and the next normal. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research*, 22(3), 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2020.1851247>
- Yeo, H., & Lee, Y. L. (2013). Exploring new potentials of blogs for learning: Can children use blogs for personal information management (PIM)? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 45(5), 916–925 <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12117>

